arXiv:0901.0912v4 [math.FA] 27 Jan 2009

CYCLIC VECTORS OF SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS IN HILBERT SPACE

HIDAYAT M. HUSEYNOV

Introduction

Let H be a separable Hilbert space with inner product $(.,.)$ and norm $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{(.,.)}$, and let A be a bounded or unbounded self-adjoint operator in this space with a domain $D(A)$. A vector $f \in C^\infty(A) = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty D(A^n)$ is said to be a cyclic vector for A if the closure $\mathcal{L}$ of the span of the vectors $f, Af, A^2f, \ldots$ coincides with the space H, i.e. the system $\{f, Af, A^2f, \ldots\}$ is complete in the space H ([1], [11]).

The problem of finding conditions for a vector to be a cyclic vector for the given operator is a hard problem, but for some concrete operators even the criteria for a vector to be a cyclic vector are found (see survey paper [9]).

In the present paper we obtain a criterion and sufficient conditions for a vector to be a cyclic vector for a class of self-adjoint operators, more precisely for self-adjoint operators A satisfying the following condition:

a) the spectrum of the operator A consists of simple eigenvalues λ_j: $\lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}$, $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$.

Then the system of eigenvectors $\{e_j\}_{j=-\infty}^\infty$ of the operator A ($Ae_j = \lambda_j e_j$) forms an orthonormal basis in the space H.

Note that for self-adjoint operators the simplicity of the spectrum and the existence of at least one cyclic vector are equivalent (see, e.g., [1]).

In order to formulate the main results of this paper we introduce the following notation:

$$P_{2n+1}(\lambda) = \prod_{i=-n}^{n} (\lambda - \lambda_i), \quad \dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} P_{2n+1}(\lambda);$$

E_{2n+1} is a unit matrix in Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}_{2n+1}$;
K_{2n+1} is a square matrix of order $(2n+1)$ with elements

$$k_{ij}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{(f, e_i)\overline{(f, e_j)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda_i)\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda_j)} \sum_{|s|>n} \frac{P_{2n+1}^2(\lambda_s)|(f, e_s)|^2}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_i)(\lambda_s - \lambda_j)};$$

$$\overline{k_{ij}^{(n)}} = k_{ji}^{(n)}, \quad i, j = -n, -n+1, \ldots, n;$$

$\langle .,. \rangle$ is the inner product in Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}_{2n+1}$;
$e_{2n+1}^{(k)}$ is the $(2n+1)$-dimensional column vector with components δ_{ik}, $i = -n, -n+1, \ldots, n$, $|k| < n$. Here δ_{ik} is the Kronecker delta.

Theorem 1. *Let the self-adjoint operator A satisfy the property a). In order that $f \in C^\infty(A)$ be a cyclic vector for the operator A it is necessary and sufficient that* *for each integer k the following conditions hold:*

$$1^\circ.\ (f, e_k) \neq 0;$$

$$2^\circ.\ \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle (E_{2n+1} + K_{2n+1})^{-1} e_{2n+1}^{(k)}, e_{2n+1}^{(k)} \rangle = 1.$$

Theorem 2. *Let the self-adjoint operator A satisfy the property a), and for each integer k let $f \in C^\infty(A)$ satisfy the conditions*

$$1^\circ.\ (f, e_k) \neq 0;$$

$$2^\circ.\ \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\dot{P}_{2n+1}^2(\lambda_k)} \cdot \sum_{|s|>n} \frac{P_{2n+1}^2(\lambda_s)|(f, e_s)|^2}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_k)^2} = 0.$$

Then the vector f is a cyclic vector for the operator A.

Applying Theorem 2, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let there exists $C > 0$ such that for all integers k the Fourier coefficients of the 2π-periodic function $f(x)$ satisfy the conditions*

$$0 < \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx \right| \leq C e^{-\delta|k|},$$

where $\delta > (6c_0^2 + 2)/3c_0^3$, c_0 is the positive solution of the equation $c^2 = e^{1/c^2}$. Then the system of successive derivatives of the function $f(x)$, i.e. the system of functions

$$f(x), f'(x), f''(x), \ldots$$

forms a complete system in the space $L_2(-\pi, \pi)$.

(Note that $c_0 = 1.328\ldots$, $(6c_0^2 + 2)/3c_0^3 = 1.79\ldots$)

Example 1. For the Fourier coefficients of the function $f(x) = e^{a\cos x}$ $(a \neq 0)$ we have (see, e.g., [10, Ch. 2, §10])

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx = 2 \int_0^{\pi} e^{a\cos x} \cos kx dx = 2\pi \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^k \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{|a/2|^{2m}}{m!(m+k)!}.$$

It follows that

$$0 < \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx \right| \leq 2\pi \left|\frac{a}{2}\right|^k e^{a^2/4} \frac{1}{k!}.$$

Therefore the conditions of Theorem 3 hold and hence the system of functions

$$e^{a\cos x}, (e^{a\cos x})', (e^{a\cos x})'', \ldots$$

is complete in the space $L_2(-\pi, \pi)$.

Systems of derivatives of an analytic function were considered as complete systems in spaces of analytic functions in [3], [4], [5], [8] etc.

Proof of Theorem 1

Let $f \in C^\infty(A)$ and assume that condition 1° of Theorem 1 holds. We denote by $L_{2n+1}(f)$ the span of the vectors $f, Af, \ldots, A^{2n}f$. Then the distance from the vector e_k to the subspace $L_{2n+1}(f)$ is expressed as ([1, p. 20])

$$\rho(e_k, L_{2n+1}(f)) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(e_k, f, Af, \ldots, A^{2n}f)}{\Gamma(f, Af, \ldots, A^{2n}f)}},$$

where $\Gamma(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m)$ is the Gram determinant of the vectors $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m$:

$$\Gamma(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m) = \det \begin{pmatrix} (g_1,g_1) & (g_1,g_2) & \ldots & (g_1,g_m) \\ (g_2,g_1) & (g_2,g_2) & \ldots & (g_2,g_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (g_m,g_1) & (g_m,g_2) & \ldots & (g_m,g_m) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to show that

$$\rho^2(e_k, L_{2n+1}(f)) = 1 - \langle A_{2n+1}^{-1} b_{2n+1}^{(k)}, b_{2n+1}^{(k)} \rangle, \tag{1}$$

where A_{2n+1} is the Gram matrix and $b_{2n+1}^{(k)}$ is the vector from $\mathbb{C}_{2n+1}$:

$$A_{2n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} (f,f) & (f,Af) & \ldots & (f,A^{2n}f) \\ (Af,f) & (Af,Af) & \ldots & (Af,A^{2n}f) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (A^{2n}f,f) & (A^{2n}f,Af) & \ldots & (A^{2n}f,A^{2n}f) \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{2n+1}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_k \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_k^{2n} \end{pmatrix} (f, e_k). \tag{2}$$

Indeed, if we denote $\vec{0} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)^T \in C_{2n+1}$, then

$$\rho^2(e_k, L_{2n+1}(f)) = \det \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \vec{0}^* \\ \vec{0} & A_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_{2n+1}^{(k)*} \\ b_{2n+1}^{(k)} & A_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$
$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_{2n+1}^{(k)*} \\ A_{2n+1}^{-1} b_{2n+1}^{(k)} & E_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix} = 1 - \langle A_{2n+1}^{-1} b_{2n+1}^{(k)}, b_{2n+1}^{(k)} \rangle.$$

Using the eigenvector expansion for the self-adjoint operator A^ℓ,

$$A^\ell f = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_i^\ell (f, e_i) e_i, \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

it is easy to check that

$$A_{2n+1} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} B_{2n+1,j} B_{2n+1,j}^*, \tag{3}$$

where B^* denotes the adjoint matrix of B and the matrices $B_{s,j}$ $(s = 2n+1)$ have the form

$$B_{s,j} = \begin{pmatrix} (f, e_{sj-n}) & (f, e_{sj-n+1}) & \dots & (f, e_{sj+n}) \\ \lambda_{sj-n}(f, e_{sj-n}) & \lambda_{sj-n+1}(f, e_{sj-n+1}) & \dots & \lambda_{sj+n}(f, e_{sj+n}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{sj-n}^{2n}(f, e_{sj-n}) & \lambda_{sj-n+1}^{2n}(f, e_{sj-n+1}) & \dots & \lambda_{sj+n}^{2n}(f, e_{sj+n}) \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (4)$$

In the sequel k is a fixed integer and n is a natural number such that $|k| < n$. We put $c_{2n+1}^{(k)} = A_{2n+1}^{-1} b_{2n+1}^{(k)}$. Then $A_{2n+1} c_{2n+1}^{(k)} = b_{2n+1}^{(k)}$ and according to (3)

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} B_{2n+1,j} B_{2n+1,j}^{*} c_{2n+1}^{(k)} = b_{2n+1}^{(k)},$$

or

$$B_{2n+1,0} B_{2n+1,0}^{*} c_{2n+1}^{(k)} + \sum_{|j|>0} B_{2n+1,j} B_{2n+1,j}^{*} c_{2n+1}^{(k)} = b_{2n+1}^{(k)}.$$

If we put $\widehat{c}_{2n+1}^{(k)} = B_{2n+1,0}^{*} c_{2n+1}^{(k)}$ we obtain

$$\widehat{c}_{2n+1}^{(k)} + K_{2n+1} \widehat{c}_{2n+1}^{(k)} = B_{2n+1,0}^{-1} b_{2n+1}^{(k)}, \qquad (5)$$

where the self-adjoint matrix K_{2n+1} has the form

$$K_{2n+1} = \sum_{|j|>0} B_{2n+1,0}^{-1} B_{2n+1,j} B_{2n+1,j}^{*} B_{2n+1,0}^{*-1}. \qquad (6)$$

According to (2) and (4)

$$B_{2n+1,0}^{-1} b_{2n+1}^{(k)} = e_{2n+1}^{(k)},$$

where $e_{2n+1}^{(k)}$ is the column vector from C_{2n+1} with components δ_{ik}, $i = -n, -n+1, \dots, n$. Therefore the equation (5) can be written in the form

$$\widehat{c}_{2n+1}^{(k)} + K_{2n+1} \widehat{c}_{2n+1}^{(k)} = e_{2n+1}^{(k)}.$$

From here, we have

$$\widehat{c}_{2n+1}^{(k)} = \left(E_{2n+1} + K_{2n+1}\right)^{-1} e_{2n+1}^{(k)}.$$

Now we can express the right-hand side of the formula (1) in terms of the matrix K_{2n+1} and the vector $e_{2n+1}^{(k)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2(e_k, L_{2n+1}(f)) &= 1 - \langle A_{2n+1}^{-1} b_{2n+1}^{(k)}, b_{2n+1}^{(k)} \rangle = 1 - \langle c_{2n+1}^{(k)}, b_{2n+1}^{(k)} \rangle \\ &= 1 - \langle B_{2n+1,0}^{*-1} \widehat{c}_{2n+1}^{(k)}, b_{2n+1}^{(k)} \rangle = 1 - \langle \widehat{c}_{2n+1}^{(k)}, B_{2n+1,0}^{-1} b_{2n+1}^{(k)} \rangle \\ &= 1 - \langle \left(E_{2n+1} + K_{2n+1}\right)^{-1} e_{2n+1}^{(k)}, e_{2n+1}^{(k)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain the following main formula which will play an important role in the sequel:

$$\rho^2(e_k, L_{2n+1}(f)) = 1 - \langle \left(E_{2n+1} + K_{2n+1}\right)^{-1} e_{2n+1}^{(k)}, e_{2n+1}^{(k)} \rangle. \qquad (7)$$

We express the elements of the matrix K_{2n+1} in terms of the eigenvalues λ_j and the Fourier coefficients (f, e_j) of the element f. First we consider the matrix $B^{-1}_{2n+1,0}B_{2n+1,j}$. Using the form (4) for the matrix $B_{s,j}$ we can write

$$B^{-1}_{2n+1,0} = \begin{pmatrix} (f,e_{-n}) & (f,e_{-n+1}) & \dots & (f,e_n) \\ \lambda_{-n}(f,e_{-n}) & \lambda_{-n+1}(f,e_{-n+1}) & \dots & \lambda_n(f,e_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda^{2n}_{-n}(f,e_{-n}) & \lambda^{2n}_{-n+1}(f,e_{-n+1}) & \dots & \lambda^{2n}_n(f,e_n) \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\det B_{2n+1,0}} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{B}_{-n,-n} & \widehat{B}_{-n+1,-n} & \dots & \widehat{B}_{n,-n} \\ \widehat{B}_{-n,-n+1} & \widehat{B}_{-n+1,-n+1} & \dots & \widehat{B}_{n,-n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \widehat{B}_{-n,n} & \widehat{B}_{-n+1,n} & \dots & \widehat{B}_{n,n} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\det B_{2n+1,0} = \prod_{j=-n}^{n}(f,e_j)W(\lambda_{-n},\dots,\lambda_n)$, $W(\lambda_{-n},\dots,\lambda_n)$ is the Vandermonde determinant

$$W(\lambda_{-n},\dots,\lambda_n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \lambda_{-n} & \lambda_{-n+1} & \dots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda^{2n}_{-n} & \lambda^{2n}_{-n+1} & \dots & \lambda^{2n}_n \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

and $\widehat{B}_{ij}$ are the algebraic complements of the elements of the matrix $B_{2n+1,0}$. Therefore

$$B^{-1}_{2n+1,0}B_{2n+1,j} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=-n}^{n}(f,e_j)W(\lambda_{-n},\dots,\lambda_n)}$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} \sum\limits_{s=-n}^{n} \widehat{B}_{s,-n}\lambda^{s+n}_{(2n+1)j-n}(f,e_{(2n+1)j-n}) & \dots & \sum\limits_{s=-n}^{n} \widehat{B}_{s,-n}\lambda^{s+n}_{(2n+1)j+n}(f,e_{(2n+1)j+n}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum\limits_{s=-n}^{n} \widehat{B}_{s,n}\lambda^{s+n}_{(2n+1)j-n}(f,e_{(2n+1)j-n}) & \dots & \sum\limits_{s=-n}^{n} \widehat{B}_{s,n}\lambda^{s+n}_{(2n+1)j+n}(f,e_{(2n+1)j+n}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{s=-n}^{n} \widehat{B}_{s,i}\mu^{s+n}(f,e) = \prod_{\substack{s=-n \\ s\neq i}}^{n} (f,e_s)(f,e)W(\lambda_{-n},\dots,\mu,\dots,\lambda_n),$$

where $W(\lambda_{-n},\dots,\mu,\dots,\lambda_n)$ denotes the Vandermonde determinant which is obtained from (8) by replacing its i-th column by the vector $(1,\mu,\mu^2,\dots,\mu^{2n})^T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sum_{s=-n}^{n} \widehat{B}_{s,i}\mu^{s+n}(f,e)}{\det B_{2n+1,0}} &= \frac{\prod_{\substack{s=-n\\ s\neq i}}^{n}(f,e_s)(f,e)W(\lambda_{-n},\dots,\mu,\dots,\lambda_n)}{\prod_{j=-n}^{n}(f,e_j)W(\lambda_{-n},\dots,\lambda_n)}\\ &= \frac{(f,e)}{(f,e_i)}\frac{(\mu-\lambda_{-n})\dots(\mu-\lambda_{i-1})(\lambda_{i+1}-\mu)\dots(\lambda_n-\mu)}{(\lambda_i-\lambda_{-n})\dots(\lambda_i-\lambda_{i-1})(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_i)\dots(\lambda_n-\lambda_i)}\\ &= \frac{(f,e)}{(f,e_i)}\frac{P_{2n+1}(\mu)}{\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda_i)}\frac{1}{\mu-\lambda_i}, \qquad i=-n,-n+1,\dots,n,\end{aligned}$$

where $P_{2n+1}(\mu)=\prod_{i=-n}^{n}(\mu-\lambda_i)$ is the polynomial of degree $(2n+1)$ and $\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\mu)=\frac{d}{d\mu}P_{2n+1}(\mu)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&B_{2n+1,0}^{-1}B_{2n+1,j}\\ &\quad= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(f,e_{(2n+1)j-n})P_{2n+1}(\lambda_{(2n+1)j-n})}{(f,e_{-n})\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda_{-n})(\lambda_{(2n+1)j-n}-\lambda_{-n})} & \cdots & \frac{(f,e_{(2n+1)j+n})P_{2n+1}(\lambda_{(2n+1)j+n})}{(f,e_{-n})\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda_{-n})(\lambda_{(2n+1)j+n}-\lambda_{-n})}\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \frac{(f,e_{(2n+1)j-n})P_{2n+1}(\lambda_{(2n+1)j-n})}{(f,e_{n})\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda_{n})(\lambda_{(2n+1)j-n}-\lambda_{n})} & \cdots & \frac{(f,e_{(2n+1)j+n})P_{2n+1}(\lambda_{(2n+1)j+n})}{(f,e_{n})\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda_{n})(\lambda_{(2n+1)j+n}-\lambda_{n})} \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Then from (6) we have the following expression for the elements $k_{ij}^{(n)}$ of the matrix K_{2n+1}:

$$k_{ij}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{(f,e_i)\overline{(f,e_j)}}\cdot\frac{1}{\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda_i)\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda_j)}\sum_{|s|>n}\frac{P_{2n+1}^2(\lambda_s)|(f,e_s)|^2}{(\lambda_s-\lambda_i)(\lambda_s-\lambda_j)}, \tag{9}$$

$$\overline{k_{ij}^{(n)}} = k_{ji}^{(n)}, \quad i,j=-n,-n+1,\dots,n.$$

Now, if all the conditions of Theorem 1 hold, then it follows from (7) that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\rho(e_k,L_{2n+1}(f))=0$ for any fixed integer k, i.e. all eigenvectors e_k of the operator A belong to the closure $\mathcal{L}$ of the span of the vectors $f, Af, A^2f, \dots$.

Indeed, according to the definition of the limit, for any $\varepsilon>0$, we can find n_ε such that

$$\alpha = \inf_{c_i}\|e_k-\sum_{i=0}^{n_\varepsilon}c_iA^if\| < \varepsilon.$$

By the definition of the infimum, we also have that there are c_i^ε such that

$$\|e_k-\sum_{i=0}^{n_\varepsilon}c_i^\varepsilon A^if\| < \alpha+\varepsilon < 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $\{e_k\}$ forms an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space H and $e_k\in\mathcal{L}$, we have $\mathcal{L}=H$.

The necessity of conditions 1° and 2° for the equality $\mathcal{L}=H$ is obvious. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 2

It is sufficient to prove the inequality ($|k| < n$)

$$\rho^2(e_k, L_{2n+1}(f)) \leq \frac{1}{|(f,e_k)|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\dot{P}^2_{2n+1}(\lambda_k)} \sum_{|s|>n} \frac{P^2_{2n+1}(\lambda_s)|(f,e_s)|^2}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_k)^2}. \tag{10}$$

We use the following easy fact (see, e.g., [7]): if M is a positive definite Hermitian square matrix of order $m \times m$, $\langle .,. \rangle_m$ is the inner product in Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}_m$ and $e \in \mathbb{C}_m$, $\|e\|_m = 1$, then the following inequality holds:

$$\langle M^{-1}e, e\rangle_m \cdot \langle Me, e\rangle_m \geq 1. \tag{11}$$

If we put $M = E_{2n+1} + K_{2n+1}$, $m = 2n+1$, $e = e^{(k)}_{2n+1}$ from (11) we obtain

$$\langle (E_{2n+1} + K_{2n+1})^{-1} e^{(k)}_{2n+1}, e^{(k)}_{2n+1}\rangle \geq \frac{1}{1 + \langle K_{2n+1} e^{(k)}_{2n+1}, e^{(k)}_{2n+1}\rangle}.$$

Then from (7) we have

$$\rho^2(e_k, L_{2n+1}(f)) \leq \frac{\langle K_{2n+1} e^{(k)}_{2n+1}, e^{(k)}_{2n+1}\rangle}{1 + \langle K_{2n+1} e^{(k)}_{2n+1}, e^{(k)}_{2n+1}\rangle}. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, according to (9)

$$\langle K_{2n+1} e^{(k)}_{2n+1}, e^{(k)}_{2n+1}\rangle = k^{(n)}_{kk} = \frac{1}{|(f,e_k)|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\dot{P}^2_{2n+1}(\lambda_k)} \sum_{|s|>n} \frac{P^2_{2n+1}(\lambda_s)|(f,e_s)|^2}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_k)^2}. \tag{13}$$

Relations (12) and (13) imply inequality (10). □

Remark 1. In the proof of (10), we assumed that all Fourier coefficients (f, e_s) of the vector f differ from zero. Now consider the case when some of the Fourier coefficients are equal to zero. Denote by (f, e_{m_s}), $s = 0, \pm1, \pm2, \ldots$ all nonzero Fourier coefficients. Then eigenvector expansion formula for the vector f has the form

$$A^\ell f = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{\lambda}_i^\ell (f, \widetilde{e}_i)\widetilde{e}_i, \quad \ell = 0,1,2,\ldots, \quad \text{where } \widetilde{\lambda}_s = \lambda_{m_s},\ \widetilde{e}_s = e_{m_s}.$$

Repeating the arguments used in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 we obtain the following analogue of inequality (10) ($|k| < n$):

$$\rho^2(\widetilde{e}_k, L_{2n+1}(f)) \leq \frac{1}{|(f,\widetilde{e}_k)|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\dot{\widetilde{P}}{}^2_{2n+1}(\widetilde{\lambda}_k)} \sum_{|s|>n} \frac{\widetilde{P}^2_{2n+1}(\widetilde{\lambda}_s)|(f,\widetilde{e}_s)|^2}{(\widetilde{\lambda}_s - \widetilde{\lambda}_k)^2},$$

where

$$\widetilde{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda) = \prod_{i=-n}^{n} (\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda}_i).$$

Remark 2. In the proof of Theorem 2 we actually obtained the following estimate:

$$\rho^2(e_k, L_{2n+1}(f)) \le \frac{k_{kk}^{(n)}}{1+k_{kk}^{(n)}}.$$

It can be shown that a more accurate estimate holds:

$$\rho^2(e_k, L_{2n+1}(f)) \le \frac{k_{kk}^{(n)}}{1+k_{kk}^{(n)}} - \frac{\left(\sum\limits_{\substack{i=1\\ i\ne k}}^{n} |k_{ik}^{(n)}|^2\right)^2}{\sum\limits_{\substack{i=1\\ i\ne k}}^{n} |k_{ik}^{(n)}|^2 + \sum\limits_{\substack{j=1\\ j\ne k}}^{n} \sum\limits_{\substack{i=1\\ i\ne k}}^{n} k_{kj}^{(n)} k_{ji}^{(n)} k_{ik}^{(n)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1+k_{kk}^{(n)}\right)^2}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3

Consider the operator A generated by the differential expression $i\, d/dx$ in the space $L_2(-\pi,\pi)$:

$$\begin{aligned} Ay &= i\frac{dy}{dx}, \\ D(A) &= \{y \in L_2(-\pi,\pi) | y \in \mathscr{AC}(-\pi,\pi), y(-\pi) = y(\pi), y' \in L_2(-\pi,\pi)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is known (see, e.g., [1]) that A is a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $H = L_2(-\pi,\pi)$, $\lambda_k = k$ $(k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots)$ are the eigenvalues and $e_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-ikx}$ are the eigenfunctions of the operator A. So the operator A satisfies the property a). Let the function $f(x)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3. Let us show that all the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. From the estimate for the Fourier coefficients we obtain that $f(x)$ is an analytic function on the segment $[-\pi,\pi]$ (see, e.g., [2, p. 90]) and since this function is 2π-periodic we have $f^{(m)}(-\pi) = f^{(m)}(\pi)$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, i.e. $f \in C^\infty(A)$. Now it is sufficient to prove the fulfilment of condition 2° of Theorem 2.

Since $P_{2n+1}(\lambda) = \prod_{i=-n}^{n} (\lambda - \lambda_i) = \prod_{i=-n}^{n} (\lambda - i) = \lambda(\lambda^2 - 1^2) \dots (\lambda^2 - n^2)$, then using the inequality $1 - x^2 < e^{-x^2}$, $0 < x < 1$ for $s > n$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2n+1}(\lambda_s) &= s(s^2-1^2)\dots(s^2-n^2) = s^{2n+1}\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^2\right)\dots\left(1-\left(\frac{n}{s}\right)^2\right) \\ &< s^{2n+1} e^{-(1^2+\dots+n^2)/s^2} = s^{2n+1} e^{-n(n+1)(2n+1)/6s^2} \le s^{2n+1} e^{-n^3/3s^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, taking into account that $|(f, e_s)| \le C e^{-\delta|s|}$ and

$$\dot{P}_{2n+1}(\lambda_k) = \prod_{\substack{i=-n\\ i\ne k}}^{n} (k - i) = (-1)^{n-k}(n+k)!(n-k)!, \quad |k| < n$$

and putting $\delta = \sigma + \delta_1$, where $\sigma = (6c_0^2 + 2)/3c_0^3$, $\delta_1 > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\dot{P}_{2n+1}^2(\lambda_k)} \sum_{|s|>n} \frac{P_{2n+1}^2(\lambda_s)|(f, e_s)|^2}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_k)^2} \\
&\qquad \le \frac{2C^2}{\big((n+k)!(n-k)!\big)^2} \sum_{s=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^{4n+2} e^{-2n^3/3s^2} e^{-2\delta s}}{(s-k)^2} \\
&\qquad \le \frac{2C^2}{\big((n+k)!(n-k)!\big)^2} \max_{n<s<\infty} \left(s^{4n} e^{-2n^3/3s^2} e^{-2\sigma s}\right) \sum_{s=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^2 e^{-2\delta_1 s}}{(s-k)^2} \\
&\qquad = \frac{2C^2}{\big((n+k)!(n-k)!\big)^2} \left(s^{4n} e^{-2n^3/3s^2} e^{-2\sigma s}\right)_{s=c_0 n} \sum_{s=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^2 e^{-2\delta_1 s}}{(s-k)^2} \\
&\qquad = \frac{2C^2}{\big((n+k)!(n-k)!\big)^2} (c_0 n)^{4n} e^{-2n/3c_0^2} e^{-2\sigma c_0 n} \sum_{s=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{s^2 e^{-2\delta_1 s}}{(s-k)^2} \\
&\qquad \le \frac{2C^2 n^{4n} e^{-4n}}{\big((n+k)!(n-k)!\big)^2} \frac{1}{(n+1-k)^2} e^{-2} \frac{e^{-\delta_1 (n+1)}}{1 - e^{-\delta_1}} \left(\frac{2}{\delta_1}\right)^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

From here it follows that for each fixed k

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\dot{P}_{2n+1}^2(\lambda_k)} \cdot \sum_{|s|>n} \frac{P_{2n+1}^2(\lambda_s)|(f, e_s)|^2}{(\lambda_s - \lambda_k)^2} = 0,$$

i.e. condition 2° of Theorem 2 holds. ☐

Remark 3. Theorem 3 shows that the function $f(x)$ satisfying the conditions of this theorem is a cyclic vector for the self-adjoint operator A generated in the space $L_2(-\pi, \pi)$ by the boundary value problem

$$\begin{gathered}
iy' = \lambda y, \\
y(-\pi) = y(\pi).
\end{gathered}$$

Let us show that an infinitely differentiable finite function $\varphi(x)$ with support $\operatorname{supp} \varphi(x) \subset [-\pi, \pi]$ is not a cyclic vector for the operator A. Assume the contrary, i.e., assume that such a function is a cyclic vector for the operator A.

Consider the Fourier transform of the function $\varphi(x)$:

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(x) e^{-i\lambda x} dx.$$

It is known (see, e.g., [6, p. 22]) that the function $\Phi(\lambda)$ has an infinite number of zeros. Let λ_0 be a zero of this function:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(x) e^{-i\lambda_0 x} dx = 0.$$

Integrating by parts we obtain

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi^{(j)}(x)e^{-i\lambda_0 x}dx = 0, \qquad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

But this is impossible since by assumption the system of functions $\{\varphi^{(j)}(x)\}_{j=0}^{+\infty}$ is complete in the space $L_2(-\pi, \pi)$.

References

[1] N. I. Akhiezer, I. M. Glazman, *Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space. Vol. I* (Russian), Kharkov: Vishcha Shkola, 1977.
English transl.: Boston, Mass.-London: Pitman, 1981.

[2] N. K. Bari, *Trigonometric series* (Russian), Moscow: Gosudarstv. Izdat. Fiz.-Mat. Lit., 1961. See also: N. K. Bary, *A treatise on trigonometric series. Vols. I, II*, New York: The Macmillan Co., 1964.

[3] V. P. Gromov, *The completeness of systems of derivatives of an analytic function* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **25** (1961), 543–556.

[4] I. I. Ibragimov, *Completeness of a system of analytic functions* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR,. Ser. Mat. **11** (1947), no. 1, 75–100.

[5] Yu. A. Kaz'min, *On the completeness of a system of analytic functions. I* (Russian), Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1960), no. 5, 3–13.

[6] B. Ya. Levin, *Entire functions* (Russian), Moscow: Izdat. Moskov. Univ., 1971.
See also: B. Ya. Levin, *Lectures on entire functions*, Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1996.

[7] M. Marcus, H. Minc, *A survey of matrix theory and matrix inequalities*, Boston, Mass.: Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1964.

[8] A. I. Markushevich, *On a basis in the space of analytic functions* (Russian), Mat. Sb. **17** (1945), no. 2, 211–252.

[9] N. K. Nikol'skiĭ, *Invariant subspaces in operator theory and function theory* (Russian), Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki. Matematicheskiĭ analiz. 12, Moscow: VINITI, 1974, pp. 199–412.

[10] F. W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and special functions*, New York–London: Academic Press, 1974.

[11] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I. Functional analysis*, New York: Academic Press, Inc., 1980.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Baku State University, 23 Z.Khalilov str., AZ1148, Baku, Azerbaijan

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, 9 F.Agayev str., AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan
E-mail address: `hmhuseynov@gmail.com`